CondescenKing rabbi Ravage was a riot! – Destruction of the peoples via Christianity is his confession (amongst others)

So, there is this 162 GB 'NSL Archiv', compiled by unglaublichkeiten.com, which is now defunct unfortunately – fortunately however, the material is hosted as a torrent accessible through archive.org, still live while this article is being written.

It is my favourite history research pool, near 2500 books, magazines, magazine collections and other 'zip' files, and all sorts of written publications reaching back to the 1500s, 1000s of hours of audio (as early as '1901') and video footage, in various languages.

In this archive, there is this 8-page pamphlet by General LUDENDORFF[1] called *Judengeständnis – Völkerzerstörung durch Christentum* [*Jew Confession – Destruction of the Peoples through Christianity*] from '1936', which I thought needed translating, since it is not available to the English speaker as yet.

In that pamphlet, LUDENDORFF extensively quotes from two articles, one of five (*A real Case against the Jews*), the other of eight pages (*Commissary to the Gentiles*), written by a certain rabbi MARCUS ELI RAVAGE, published in the January and February '1928' issues of a certain *Century Magazine*, a US publication by the looks of things (admittedly, without checking that latter fact).

In order to avoid translating back into English[2], what LUDENDORFF had first translated from English into German, it seemed a good idea to find the original pieces, and lo and behold – I found them, too[3]!

So, instead of the originally planned translation, here is LUDENDORFF's original find, edited and commented by yours truly.

Let us first clarify: **the following quotes stem from a rabbi, a member of the Jewish ruling class, not** your sweatshop shmuck or 'respectable' Jewish trader, *not* Abe, Sol or Mo from next door, or whatever your ideas might have been.

For the first page of the first article, the rabbi does what they usually do when the day is long enough – he brags. How stupid we (the non-Jews) are, how superior they (the Jews) are. Now, the really unfortunate thing for us non-Jews is, that he's not even all that wrong in his assessment of that contest on the whole, at least when it comes to – 'ideas'.

Not that he stops mouthing off at any point for the rest of the articles, either; there are

levels to it, apparently.

Here we go – first sentence on page 2, and we're picking up some brag-momentum:

> "We shirk our patriotic duty in wartime because we are pacifists[4] by nature and tradition, and we are arch-plotters of wars, and the chief beneficiaries of those wars (see late *Dearborn Independent*[5], *passim*, and *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*).
>
> We are at once the founders and leading adherents of capitalism and the chief perpetrators of the rebellion against capitalism."

Just in case you were wondering…

… why you hardly ever see a Jewish soldier, let alone warrior, for example;
… or who was responsible for all those oceans of blood of *all* the wars of our entire recent history;
… or what *this* particular –ism (capitalism) is all about;
… or why some of them are fantastyzillionaires, but none of us.

There are libraries and archives across the world full of chopped trees on the scope of what that rabbi just said there.

It must have been just some form of warm-up, though:

> "We are the […] people who never accepted Christianity, and we are the criminal people who crucified its founder [there is more to be said about this later; yours truly].
> […] You resent the Jew not because, as some of you seem to think, he crucified Jesus but because he gave him birth. Your real quarrel with us is not that we have rejected Christianity but that we have imposed it upon you."

Quite a Sunday service sermon worth, no?

What a long day it must have been. We're approaching brag-overdrive:

> "You have not begun to appreciate the real depth of our guilt. We *are* intruders. We *are* disturbers. We *are* subverters. We have taken your natural world, your ideals, your destiny, and played havoc with them. We have been

at the bottom not merely of the latest great war [WWI; yours truly] but of nearly all your wars, not only of the Russian but of every other major revolution in your history. We have brought discord and confusion and frustration into your personal and public life. We are still doing it. No one can tell how long we shall go on doing it.

[…] We conquered you […] And we did it solely by the irresistible might of our spirit, with ideas, with propaganda.

We made you the willing and unconscious bearers of our mission to the whole world […] Without fully understanding what we were doing to you, you became the agents at large of our racial tradition[6], carrying our gospel to the unexplored ends of the Earth."

Forests of previously perfectly good trees…
Although we might be grateful for the one tree that has been sacrificed for him telling us these things.

Anyhow, he wouldn't be a real rabbi, if he weren't putting some meat on the brag bone, that one little extra grain of truth with some more relevant meaning attached to it:

"Our tribal customs have become the core of your moral code. Our tribal laws have furnished the basic groundwork of all your august constitutions and legal systems. Our legends and our folk-tales are the sacred lore which you croon to your infants. Our poets[7] have filled […] your prayer books. Our national history has become an indispensable part of the learning of your pastors and priests and scholars. Our Kings, our statesmen, our prophets, our warriors[8] are your heroes. Our ancient little country[9] is your Holy Land. Our national literature[10] is your Holy Bible. What our people thought and taught has become inextricably woven into your very speech and tradition, until no one among you can be called educated who is not familiar with our racial heritage[6].

Jewish artisans and Jewish fishermen are your teachers and your saints […] A Jewish maiden[11] is your ideal of motherhood and womanhood [I do *not* think so]. A Jewish rebel-prophet [predominantly fictional, but moulded after *our* ideals; yours truly] is the central figure in your religious worship. We have

> pulled down your idols, cast aside your racial inheritance, and substituted for them our God and our tradition. No conquest in history can even remotely compare with this clean sweep of our conquest over you."

Quite a powerful drug, this notion of superiority, it would appear. One can learn so much listening to them in that state, don't you think?

And here's the lid on top – this rabbi was one chatterbox:

> "We have imposed upon you an alien book [the *bible*] and an alien faith [Christianity] which you cannot swallow or digest, which is at cross-purposes with your native spirit, which keeps you everlastingly ill-at-ease, and which you lack the spirit either to reject or to accept in full.
> […] We have […] divided your soul, confused your impulses, paralysed your desires. In the midst of battle you are obliged to kneel to him who commanded you to turn the other cheek, who said "Resist not evil" and "Blessed are the peacemakers".
> […] we have forever spoiled the fun of paganism for you."

And no mistake, either, except perhaps the 'forever' bit.

So much for Christianity, Jesus, Moses and all, including the rest of those so-called 'Abrahamic'/'Mosaic' 'religions' of 'Monotheism'.
Time to convert all 'Christian' churches and 'Islamic' mosks into museums, youth clubs, libraries, or what else might be deemed useful.

We have already done some, but to round this rabbi off, a little more text analysis for beginners:

> "A clumsy Russian forges a set of papers and publishes them in a book called *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, which shows that we plotted to bring on the late World War. […] For the sake of argument, we will underwrite every word of it. It is genuine and authentic."

So, we are supposed to be stupid enough to believe that someone "clumsy" could have written the PROTOCOLS?! *You* read the PROTOCOLS, and then tell me whether anybody except somebody rather well alert and well-organised could have written them. This is not how things work in real life, only in millennia–old Jewish lore obnoxiously spread across those ever darkening millennia.

Bottom line: bullshit!

I could go on, and argue, that since the last sentence of that quote does not explicitly refer to the one before, it could be viewed as an admittance that the PROTOCOLS *are* genuine; but I don't need to do that: there have been court proceedings in the '1930's in Switzerland, initiated with the express purpose of 'proving' the PROTOCOLS to be 'fake'.

That trial has, to the detriment of the Jewish initiators, turned up mountains of historical material[12], which proves, if anything, that the PROTOCOLS **are** genuine; naturally, some of the material was dismissed, some other rejected on other flimsy grounds – the result: the 'judges' (most likely Jews/freemasons) have 'judged' the PROTOCOLS to be 'fake'!

That's all the proof *I* need, besides having actually read the PROTOCOLS. Try it yourself, and see just how genuine *you* think they might be!

There is plenty more worth knowing in what the rabbi has to say here, but just one more bit of razorblade logic before the article goes book length.

In the February article (*A Commissary*…), the rabbi is mouthing off about all the major revolutions they (the Jews) had caused, and then, this sentence follows, introducing a new paragraph:

> "But even these plots and revolutions are as nothing compared with **the great conspiracy which we engineered at the beginning of this era**, and which was destined to make the creed of a Jewish sect the religion of the Western World." [highlight by yours truly]

What can I say? Text analysis with that type of writer and text is actual fun.

Follow me, would you:

The "plots and revolutions" to which the rabbi is referring here are *exactly* those of the '16'th through to the early '20'th centuries, as he even names them in that article. This means, they have taken place in the **Modern** era.

The "beginning of **this** era" is the period called the 'Renaissance' (engl.: 're-birth'), for our purposes neatly nestled in our timeline around the '14'th/'15'th century, i.e. immediately before those "plots and revolutions" started unfolding first in Europe, later everywhere.

Thus, this rabbi just stated clear as a bell:

Jews engineered Christianity at the beginning of Modern Times!!!

That statement includes the no less clear admission that our timeline is an artificial one, by the use of the word "engineered".

Semantically, there is no conceivable misunderstanding here, nor is there any 'typo' to be found that could open room for any ambiguity!

It seems likely that the earliest days of 'Renaissance', i.e. around '1300', had just seen the introduction of the 'gospels', NOT the time almost 1300 years earlier as they claim.

On the other hand, the *New Testament* must have been around at least for a little while for MARTIN LUTHER, born '1483', to pick up on it, so it couldn't have been all that much later than '1300', but overall, sometime between '1300'-ish and '1450'-ish is accurate enough for our current purpose. However, perhaps this rabbi's idea of the modern era goes back an extra couple of hundred years - who knows?

One way or another, it would appear that they did not have much choice but to present something to 'balance' the wretchedness of the Old Testament. You start reading the Old Testament, and within the first so many chapters, you will find pretty most every crime in the book: theft, fraud, treason, murder, substance abuse in combination with incest with minors (my personal favourite), adultery, and then some.

My next question would now be, whether that was just one of those bragging 'slips' of the tongue/pen, or whether the rabbi chose those exact words on purpose, knowing what he is revealing there?

The answer? For much of the two articles, this rabbi lyingly rambles on about biblical 'history', and then here, a couple of pages into the February article (*Commissary to the*

Gentiles) he clearly states, albeit like in passing with that little extra bit "at the beginning of this era", that it really is no actual history at all, but **what he himself calls a Jewish "great conspiracy**"!!! That the "conspiracy" was engineered "at the beginning of this era", would therefore be the 'slip' of someone who talks too much (of things that are *second nature to him*, but *revelations to us*) without thinking too clearly when in that frenzied state, on a very long day.

So, *that* confession has happened quite accidentally, unwittingly, innocently if you will – and no editor/proof-reader/printer had raised the alarm before printing/distribution, either.

And this is what text analysis can do for you.

At the time those two articles were published, WILHELM KAMMEIER had already seen several publishers turn down his script on *The Falsification of German History* (should have been named '*Falsification of pan-European History*'), whose continuations, *The Truth of the History of the Late Middle Ages* and *The Falsification of the History of Primeval Christianity*, independently, prove conclusively that the emergence of the *NT* was temporally far removed from its claimed origin, and here, we have a rabbi specify the point in time a little more accurately for us.

We should thank him for the (involuntary) insights, no?

1 ERICH LUDENDORFF, born in '1865', was a Prussian infanterie General of WW1; he supported the NSDAP ('Nazi' Party) from its earliest days, but broke with them in the late '1920's over party finance issues, among other things. According to the mainstream narrative, he as well as his wife Dr. MATHILDE LUDENDORFF should not have been able to publish this or anything else, but as a matter of fact, both were quite prolific editors opposed to the ruling party; alive, well and unhassled throughout the National Socialist era! They both have entries in the '1928-35' edition of *Der Grosse Brockhaus*, the standard encyclopedia (approx. 17.000 pages) in Germany back then, to top it off.

2 Translation is a minefield, generally speaking. *Re*-translating something makes things exponentially worse. (So, never mind 'machine translation' – it will **NEVER** be able to pick up the fineties of language! ☺)

3 https://archive.org/details/MarcusEliRavage-ARealCaseAgainstTheJews
https://archive.org/details/MarcusEliRavage-CommissaryToTheGentiles

4 Replace 'pacifist' with 'coward', and see how much sense that sentence makes...

5 This is the newspaper founded by HENRY FORD, in order to publish his series of articles *The International Jew – The World's Foremost Problem* from May '1920' onwards.

6 In case there are arguments over Jews constituting a race, here's a piece of evidence, and another; once you start looking, you'll find hosts of them (Jews) confirming this. Also, the fact that this shmuck stresses the 'racial' element *twice* in such limited space, indicates some importance of that point, if not to *all* Jews, so at least to a member of their leading class! But it has to also be said that 'race' is probably not the right word for that lot, because they are the anti-race, and they're not (fully) human beings.

7 Well, what this putz calls poets, that is; they're not usually better writers than this one here – painfully unskilled on all literary accounts including the lying business – go figure that one out; you try e.g. SCHILLER or MATURIN for some *real* literature.

8 'Jewish warrior' is of course a contradiction in terms; there is no such thing, anyway – they're all 'pacifists'/cowards, as this devil seed himself had positively stated earlier (footnote 4) – yrch…

9 They never really had a 'country' of their own! That part is of course also fiction. Par for the course with a Jewish writer, naturally. Remember? 'Ideas' and 'propaganda'…

10 *Our* re-written/fictionalised/coded history.

11 After scanning some *Talmud*, this looks like another contradiction in terms; I doubt that there are many 'Jewish maidens' in existence, regardless of age!!!

12 Can also be found in the 'NSL Archiv'. A whole heap of it, as a matter of fact, including audio.